

Broward Counties) (National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991b).

Recent genetic analyses using restriction fragment analysis and direct sequencing of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) have been employed to resolve management units among loggerhead nesting cohorts of the southeastern U.S. (Bowen *et al.* 1993; B.W. Bowen, University of Florida, Gainesville, in litt., November 17, 1994, and October 26, 1995; Encalada *et al.* 1998). Assays of nest samples from North Carolina to the Florida Panhandle have identified three genetically distinct nesting populations: (1) Northern nesting population - Hatteras, North Carolina, to Cape Canaveral, Florida; (2) South Florida nesting population - Cape Canaveral to Naples, Florida; and (3) Florida Panhandle nesting population - Eglin Air Force Base and the beaches around Panama City, Florida. These data indicate that gene flow between the three regions is very low. If nesting females are extirpated from one of these regions, regional dispersal will not be sufficient to replenish the depleted nesting population (Bowen *et al.* 1993, B.W. Bowen, University of Florida, Gainesville, in litt., October 26, 1995). Therefore, impacts on loggerheads in the northern nesting population, in particular, become more significant because of the smaller total population, as well as observed population declines in Georgia and South Carolina (Frazer 1983, 1986; J. Richardson, pers. comm. cited in Dodd and Byles 1991; National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991b).

Green Sea Turtle

The green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) was listed under the ESA on July 28, 1978 (43 FR 32800). Breeding populations of the green turtle in Florida and along the Pacific Coast of Mexico are listed as endangered; all other populations are listed as threatened. The green turtle has a worldwide distribution in tropical and subtropical waters. Major green turtle nesting colonies in the Atlantic occur on Ascension Island, Aves Island, Costa Rica, and Surinam.

Within the U.S., green turtles nest in small numbers in the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico, and in larger numbers along the east coast of Florida, particularly in Brevard, Indian River, St. Lucie, Martin, Palm Beach, and Broward Counties (National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991a). Nesting also has been documented along the Gulf coast of Florida on Santa Rosa Island (Okaloosa and Escambia Counties) and from Pinellas County through Collier County (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, unpubl. data). Green turtles have been known to nest in Georgia, but only on rare occasions (Georgia Department of Natural Resources, unpubl. data). The green turtle also nests sporadically in North Carolina (North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, unpubl. data). The first documentation of green turtle nests in South Carolina were reported in 1996 (S. Murphy, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, pers. comm., 1996). Unconfirmed nesting of green turtles in Alabama has also been reported (R. Dailey, Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge, pers. comm., 1995).

Leatherback Sea Turtle

The leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), listed as an endangered species on June 2, 1970 (35 FR 8491), nests on shores of the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. Non-breeding animals have been recorded as far north as the British Isles and the Maritime Provinces of Canada

and as far south as Argentina and the Cape of Good Hope (Pritchard 1992). Nesting grounds are distributed circumglobally, with the Pacific Coast of Mexico supporting the world's largest known concentration of nesting leatherbacks. The largest nesting colony in the wider Caribbean region is found in French Guiana, but nesting occurs frequently, although in lesser numbers, from Costa Rica to Columbia and in Guyana, Surinam, and Trinidad (National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1992, National Research Council 1990a).

The leatherback regularly nests in the U.S. in Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and along the Atlantic coast of Florida as far north as Georgia (National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1992). Leatherback turtles have been known to nest in Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina, but only on rare occasions (B. Winn, Georgia Department of Natural Resources, pers. comm., 1996; S. Murphy, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, pers. comm., 1996; R. Boettcher, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, pers. comm., 1998). Leatherback nesting also has been reported on the northwest coast of Florida (LeBuff 1990; Florida Department of Environmental Protection, unpubl. data); a false crawl (non-nesting emergence) has been observed on Sanibel Island (LeBuff 1990).

ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

Status of the Species Within the Action Area

Loggerhead Sea Turtle

The loggerhead sea turtle nesting and hatching season for Northern Florida Atlantic beaches, which includes Nassau County, extends from April 15 through November 30. Incubation ranges from about 45 to 95 days.

Index nesting beach data recorded over the last 11 years for approximately 15 miles of Nassau County beaches revealed an average nesting density of about 4.6 nests per linear mile of beach on Amelia Island south of Ft. Clinch State Park (FCSP). Within FCSP, the density averages 5.3 nests per linear mile. The combined density (4.7) is the second lowest by county for the northern nesting population in Florida. The potential beach spoil disposal areas for both projects cover approximately 8.8 miles. Potential impacts from the pipeline cover an additional 0.7 mile.

Green Sea Turtle

The green sea turtle nesting and hatching season for Northern Florida Atlantic beaches, which includes Nassau County, extends from May 15 through November 15. Incubation ranges from about 45 to 75 days.

The index nesting beach surveys between 1989-1999 located a total of 10 nests within Nassau County. These low numbers are consistent with nest numbers in Duval, St. Johns, and Flagler counties to the south. Volusia County is the northernmost county on Florida's Atlantic Coast supporting significant numbers of nesting green turtles.

Leatherback Sea Turtle

The leatherback sea turtle nesting and hatching season for Northern Florida Atlantic beaches, which includes Nassau County, extends from April 15 through September 30. Incubation ranges from about 55 to 75 days.

The index beach nesting surveys recorded a single nesting event in Nassau County since 1989. The large majority of leatherback sea turtles that nest on Florida's Atlantic Coast do so south of Indian River County.

Factors Affecting Species Environment Within the Action Area

Much of the coastal beach within Nassau County, especially the northern area, has experienced severe erosion. Previous efforts to control and compensate for this erosion include the placement of hardened tubular revetments in some of the worst locations, and replacement of lost sand in others through beach renourishment from dredging projects. Placement of the tubular revetments has been as far landward as the remaining beach allowed. These revetments typically are covered in sand and graded so as to minimize potential impacts to nesting sea turtles. The renourishment projects are ongoing. Other factors adversely affecting nesting sea turtles include beach driving, coastal lighting, and predation. Vehicles on a beach can cause direct mortality to sea turtles by running over adults, hatchlings, and nests. Some indirect adverse effects are increased number of non-nesting attempts and false crawls due to vehicle presence, lighting, and sand compaction, increased hatchling mortality due to sand compaction and disorientation/entrapment from vehicle lights/tire ruts, and greater exposure to nocturnal and diurnal predators. Both feral (raccoons) and free-ranging domestic animals (cats) can prey on sea turtle eggs and hatchlings. The type, intensity, and direction of coastal lighting can have adverse impacts on adult and hatchling sea turtles. Bright lights illuminating the beach or the sky landward of the beach can repel/misorient swimming and nesting adults, and disorient and misorient emerging hatchlings.

Nassau County does not permit beach driving, but has no special ordinances that address the impacts from coastal lighting or nest or hatchling predation by feral and free-ranging domestic animals.

EFFECTS OF THE ACTION

Beneficial Effects

Placement of sand on a severely eroded beach can increase sea turtle nesting habitat in an area as long as protective measures are incorporated into the project. Also, a properly engineered and constructed beach may be more stable than the eroding one it replaces, thereby benefitting sea turtles.

Direct Effects

Placement of sand on an eroded section of beach or an existing beach in and of itself may not provide suitable nesting habitat for sea turtles. Although beach nourishment may increase the

potential nesting area, significant negative impacts to sea turtles may result if protective measures are not incorporated during construction. Nourishment during the nesting season, particularly on or near high density nesting beaches, can cause increased loss of offspring from human-caused mortality and, along with other mortality sources, may significantly impact the long-term survival of the species. For instance, projects conducted during the nesting and hatching season could result in the loss of sea turtles through disruption of adult nesting activity and by burial or crushing of nests or hatchlings. While a nest monitoring and egg relocation program would reduce these impacts, nests may be inadvertently missed or misidentified as false crawls during daily patrols. In addition, nests may be destroyed by operations at night prior to beach patrols being performed. Even under the best of conditions, about 7 percent of the nests can be misidentified as false crawls by experienced sea turtle nest surveyors (Schroeder 1994).

Nest relocation

Besides the potential for missing nests during a nest relocation program, there is a potential for eggs to be damaged by their movement or for unknown biological mechanisms to be affected. Nest relocation can have adverse impacts on incubation temperature (and hence sex ratios), gas exchange parameters, hydric environment of nests, hatching success, and hatchling emergence (Limpus *et al.* 1979, Ackerman 1980, Parmenter 1980, Spotila *et al.* 1983, McGehee 1990). Relocating nests into sands deficient in oxygen or moisture can result in mortality, morbidity, and reduced behavioral competence of hatchlings. Water availability is known to influence the incubation environment of the embryos and hatchlings of turtles with flexible-shelled eggs, which has been shown to affect nitrogen excretion (Packard *et al.* 1984), mobilization of calcium (Packard and Packard 1986), mobilization of yolk nutrients (Packard *et al.* 1985), hatchling size (Packard *et al.* 1981, McGehee 1990), energy reserves in the yolk at hatching (Packard *et al.* 1988), and locomotory ability of hatchlings (Miller *et al.* 1987).

Comparisons of hatching success between relocated and *in situ* nests have noted significant variation ranging from a 21 percent decrease to a 9 percent increase for relocated nests (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, unpubl. data). Comparisons of emergence success between relocated and *in situ* nests have also noted significant variation ranging from a 23 percent decrease to a 5 percent increase for relocated nests (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, unpubl. data). A 1994 Florida Department of Environmental Protection study of hatching and emergence success of *in situ* and relocated nests at seven sites in Florida found that hatching success was lower for relocated nests in five of seven cases with an average decrease for all seven sites of 5.01 percent (range = 7.19 percent increase to 16.31 percent decrease). Emergence success was lower for relocated nests in all seven cases by an average of 11.67 percent (range = 3.6 to 23.36 percent) (A. Meylan, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, in litt., April 5, 1995).

A final concern about nest relocation is that it may concentrate eggs in an area resulting in a greater susceptibility to catastrophic events. Hatchlings released from concentrated areas also may be subject to greater predation rates from both land and marine predators, because the predators learn where to concentrate their efforts.

Equipment

The placement of pipelines and the use of heavy machinery on the beach during a construction project may also have adverse effects on sea turtles. They can create barriers to nesting females emerging from the surf and crawling up the beach, causing a higher incidence of false crawls and unnecessary energy expenditure.

Artificial lighting

Another impact to sea turtles is disorientation (loss of bearings) and misorientation (incorrect orientation) of hatchlings from artificial lighting. Visual cues are the primary sea-finding mechanism for hatchlings (Mrosovsky and Carr 1967, Mrosovsky and Shettleworth 1968, Dickerson and Nelson 1989, Witherington and Bjorndal 1991). Artificial beachfront lighting is a well documented cause of hatchling disorientation and misorientation on nesting beaches (Philbosian 1976; Mann 1977; Florida Department of Environmental Protection, unpubl. data). In addition, research has also documented significant reduction in sea turtle nesting activity on beaches illuminated with artificial lights (Witherington 1992). Therefore, construction lights along a project beach and on the dredging vessel may deter females from coming ashore to nest, disorient females trying to return to the surf after a nesting event, and disorient and misorient emergent hatchlings from adjacent non-project beaches. Any source of bright lighting can profoundly affect the orientation of hatchlings, both during the crawl from the beach to the ocean and once they begin swimming offshore. Hatchlings attracted to light sources on dredging barges may not only suffer from interference in migration, but may also experience higher probabilities of predation to predatory fishes that are also attracted to the barge lights. This impact could be reduced by using the minimum amount of light necessary (may require shielding) or low pressure sodium lighting during project construction.

Indirect Effects

Changes in the physical environment

Beach nourishment may result in changes in sand density (compaction), beach shear resistance (hardness), beach moisture content, beach slope, sand color, sand grain size, sand grain shape, and sand grain mineral content if the placed sand is dissimilar from the original beach sand (Nelson and Dickerson 1988a). These changes could result in adverse impacts on nest site selection, digging behavior, clutch viability, and emergence by hatchlings (Nelson and Dickerson 1987, Nelson 1988).

Beach compaction and unnatural beach profiles that may result from beach nourishment activities could negatively impact sea turtles regardless of the timing of projects. Very fine sand and/or the use of heavy machinery can cause sand compaction on nourished beaches (Nelson *et al.* 1987, Nelson and Dickerson 1988a). Significant reductions in nesting success (i.e., false crawls occurred more frequently) have been documented on severely compacted nourished beaches (Fletemeyer 1980, Raymond 1984, Nelson and Dickerson 1987, Nelson *et al.* 1987), and increased false crawls may result in increased physiological stress to nesting females. Sand compaction may increase the length of time required for female sea turtles to excavate nests and

also cause increased physiological stress to the animals (Nelson and Dickerson 1988c). Nelson and Dickerson (1988b) concluded that, in general, beaches nourished from offshore borrow sites are harder than natural beaches, and while some may soften over time through erosion and accretion of sand, others may remain hard for 10 years or more.

These impacts can be minimized by using suitable sand and by tilling the beach after nourishment if the sand becomes compacted. The level of compaction of a beach can be assessed by measuring sand compaction using a cone penetrometer (Nelson 1987). Tilling of a nourished beach may reduce the sand compaction to levels comparable to unnourished beaches. However, a pilot study by Nelson and Dickerson (1988c) showed that a tilled nourished beach will remain uncompacted for up to 1 year. Therefore, the Service requires multi-year beach compaction monitoring and, if necessary, tilling to ensure that project impacts on sea turtles are minimized. A root rake with tines at least 42 inches long and less than 36 inches apart pulled through the sand is recommended for compacted beaches. Service policy calls for beaches to be tilled if compaction levels exceed 500 psi.

A change in sediment color on a beach could change the natural incubation temperatures of nests in an area, which, in turn, could alter natural sex ratios. To provide the most suitable sediment for nesting sea turtles, the color of the nourished sediments must resemble the natural beach sand in the area. Natural reworking of sediments and bleaching from exposure to the sun would help to lighten dark nourishment sediments; however, the timeframe for sediment mixing and bleaching to occur could be critical to a successful sea turtle nesting season.

Escarpmnts

On nourished beaches, steep escarpments may develop along their water line interface as they adjust from an unnatural construction profile to a more natural beach profile (Coastal Engineering Research Center 1984, Nelson *et al.* 1987). These escarpments can hamper or prevent access to nesting sites. Researchers have shown that female turtles coming ashore to nest can be discouraged by the formation of an escarpment, leading to situations where they choose marginal or unsuitable nesting areas to deposit eggs (e.g., in front of the escarpments, which often results in failure of nests due to prolonged tidal inundation). This impact can be minimized by leveling any escarpments prior to the nesting season.

Cumulative Effects

Cumulative effects include the effects of future State, tribal, local or private actions that are reasonably certain to occur in the action area considered in this biological opinion. Future Federal actions that are unrelated to the proposed action are not considered in this section because they require separate consultation pursuant to section 7 of the Act. The Service is not aware of any cumulative effects in the project area.

CONCLUSION

After reviewing the current status of the loggerhead, green, and leatherback sea turtles, the environmental baseline for the action area, the effects of the proposed beach nourishment, and the

cumulative effects, it is the Service's biological opinion that the beach nourishment project, as proposed, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the loggerhead, green, and leatherback sea turtles. No critical habitat has been designated for the loggerhead sea turtle; therefore, none will be affected. Critical habitat for the green sea turtle has been designated for the waters surrounding Culebra Island, Puerto Rico, and its outlying keys; while critical habitat for the leatherback sea turtle has been listed for Sandy Point on St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Because this action does not affect the above critical habitats for the green or leatherback sea turtles, no destruction or adverse modification of that critical habitat is anticipated.

INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT

Section 9 of the Act and Federal regulation pursuant to section 4(d) of the Act prohibit the take of endangered or threatened species, respectively, without special exemption. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Harm is further defined by the Service to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Harass is defined by the Service as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Incidental take is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not intended as part of the agency action is not considered to be prohibited under the Act provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this incidental take statement.

The measures described below are non-discretionary, and must be implemented by the Corp so that they become binding conditions of any grant or permit issued to the applicant, as appropriate, for the exemption in section 7(o)(2) to apply. The Corp has a continuing duty to regulate the activity covered by this incidental take statement. If the Corps (1) fails to assume and implement the terms and conditions or (2) fails to require the applicant to adhere to the terms and conditions of the incidental take statement through enforceable terms that are added to the permit or grant document, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse. In order to monitor the impact of incidental take, the Corps must report the progress of the action and its impacts on the species to the Service as specified in the incidental take statement [50 CFR §402.14(i)(3)].

AMOUNT OR EXTENT OF INCIDENTAL TAKE

The Service has reviewed the biological information and other information relevant to this action. Based on this review, incidental take is anticipated for (1) all sea turtle nests that may be constructed and eggs that may be deposited and missed by a nest survey and egg relocation program within the boundaries of the proposed project; (2) all sea turtle nests deposited during the period when a nest survey and egg relocation program is not required to be in place within the boundaries of the proposed project; (3) harassment in the form of disturbing or interfering with female turtles attempting to nest within the construction area or on adjacent beaches as a result of construction activities; (4) disorientation of hatchling turtles on beaches adjacent to the construction area as they emerge from the nest and crawl to the water as a result of project

lighting; (5) behavior modification of nesting females due to escarpment formation within the project area during a nesting season, resulting in false crawls or situations where they choose marginal or unsuitable nesting areas to deposit eggs; and (6) all nests destroyed as a result of escarpment leveling within a nesting season when such leveling has been approved by the Fish and Wildlife Service.

EFFECT OF THE TAKE

In the accompanying biological opinion, the Service determined that this level of anticipated take is not likely to result in jeopardy to the species or destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURES

The Service believes the following reasonable and prudent measures are necessary and appropriate to minimize take of loggerhead, green, and leatherback sea turtles.

1. Only beach quality sand suitable for sea turtle nesting, successful incubation, and hatchling emergence shall be used on the project site.
2. If the beach nourishment project will be conducted during the sea turtle nesting season, surveys for nesting sea turtles shall be conducted. If nests are constructed in the area of beach nourishment, the eggs shall be relocated.
3. Immediately after completion of the beach nourishment project and prior to the next three nesting seasons, beach compaction shall be monitored and tilling shall be conducted as required to reduce the likelihood of impacting sea turtle nesting and hatching activities.
4. Immediately after completion of the beach nourishment project and prior to the next three nesting seasons, monitoring shall be conducted to determine if escarpments are present and escarpments shall be leveled as required to reduce the likelihood of impacting sea turtle nesting and hatching activities.
5. The applicant shall ensure that contractors doing the beach nourishment work fully understand the sea turtle protection measures detailed in this incidental take statement.
6. During the sea turtle nesting season, construction equipment and pipes shall be stored in a manner that will minimize impacts to sea turtles to the maximum extent practicable.
7. During the sea turtle nesting season, lighting associated with the project shall be minimized to reduce the possibility of disrupting and disorienting nesting and/or hatchling sea turtles.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

In order to be exempt from the prohibitions of section 9 of the ESA, the Corp must comply with the following terms and conditions, which implement the reasonable and prudent measures described above. These terms and conditions are non-discretionary.

1. All fill material placed shall be sand that is similar to that already existing at the beach site in both coloration and grain size distribution. All such fill material shall be free of construction debris, rocks, or other foreign matter and shall generally not contain, on average, greater than 10 percent fines (i.e., silt and clay) (passing the #200 sieve) and shall not contain, on average, greater than 5 percent coarse gravel or cobbles, exclusive of shell material (retained by the #4 sieve).

2. Daily early morning sea turtle nesting surveys shall be required if any portion of the beach nourishment project occurs during the period from April 15 through November 30. Nesting surveys shall be initiated 65 days prior to nourishment activities or by April 15, whichever is later. Nesting surveys shall continue through the end of the project or through September 30, whichever is earlier. If nests are constructed in areas where they may be affected by construction activities, eggs shall be relocated per the following requirements.

2a. Nesting surveys and egg relocations shall only be conducted by personnel with prior experience and training in nest survey and egg relocation procedures. Surveyors shall have a valid Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission permit. Nest surveys shall be conducted daily between sunrise and 9 a.m. Surveys shall be performed in such a manner so as to ensure that construction activity does not occur in any location prior to completion of the necessary sea turtle protection measures.

2b. Only those nests that may be affected by construction activities shall be relocated. Nests requiring relocation shall be moved no later than 9 a.m. the morning following deposition to a nearby self-release beach site in a secure setting where artificial lighting will not interfere with hatchling orientation. Nest relocations in association with construction activities shall cease when construction activities no longer threaten nests. Nests deposited within areas where construction activities have ceased or will not occur for 65 days shall be marked and left in place unless other factors threaten the success of the nest. Any nests left in the active construction zone shall be clearly marked, and all mechanical equipment shall avoid nests by at least 10 feet.

3. Immediately after completion of the beach nourishment project and prior to April 15 for 3 subsequent years, sand compaction shall be monitored in the area of restoration in accordance with a protocol agreed to by the Service, the State regulatory agency, and the applicant. At a minimum, the protocol provided under 3a and 3b below shall be followed. If required, the area shall be tilled to a depth of 36 inches. All tilling activity must be completed prior to April 15. If the project is completed during the nesting season, tilling

shall not be performed in areas where nests have been left in place or relocated. A report on the results of compaction monitoring shall be submitted to the Service prior to any tilling actions being taken. An annual summary of compaction surveys and the actions taken shall be submitted to the Service. This condition shall be evaluated annually and may be modified if necessary to address sand compaction problems identified during the previous year.

3a. Compaction sampling stations shall be located at 500-foot intervals along the project area. One station shall be at the seaward edge of the dune/bulkhead line (when material is placed in this area); one station shall be midway between the dune line and the high water line (normal wrack line); and one station shall be located just landward of the high water line.

At each station, the cone penetrometer shall be pushed to a depth of 6, 12, and 18 inches three times (three replicates). Material may be removed from the hole if necessary to ensure accurate readings of successive levels of sediment. The penetrometer may need to be reset between pushes, especially if sediment layering exists. Layers of highly compact material may lay over less compact layers. Replicates shall be located as close to each other as possible, without interacting with the previous hole and/or disturbed sediments. The three replicate compaction values for each depth shall be averaged to produce final values for each depth at each station. Reports shall include all 27 values for each transect line, and the final 9 averaged compaction values.

3b. If the average value for any depth exceeds 500 psi for any two or more adjacent stations, then that area shall be tilled immediately prior to April 15. If values exceeding 500 psi are distributed throughout the project area but in no case do those values exist at two adjacent stations at the same depth, then consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service shall be required to determine if tilling is required. If a few values exceeding 500 psi are present randomly within the project area, tilling shall not be required.

4. Visual surveys for escarpments along the project area shall be made immediately after completion of the beach nourishment project and prior to April 15 for 3 subsequent years. Results of the surveys shall be submitted to the Service prior to any action being taken. Escarpments that interfere with sea turtle nesting or that exceed 18 inches in height for a distance of 100 feet shall be leveled to the natural beach contour by April 15. If the project is completed during the sea turtle nesting and hatching season, escarpments may be required to be leveled immediately, while protecting nests that have been relocated or left in place. The Service shall be contacted immediately if subsequent reformation of escarpments that interfere with sea turtle nesting or that exceed 18 inches in height for a distance of 100 feet occurs during the nesting and hatching season to determine the appropriate action to be taken. If it is determined that escarpment leveling is required during the nesting or hatching season, the Service will provide a brief written authorization that describes methods to be used to reduce the likelihood of impacting

existing nests. An annual summary of escarpment surveys and actions taken shall be submitted to the Service.

5. The applicant shall arrange a meeting between representatives of the contractor, the Service, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, and the permitted person responsible for egg relocation at least 30 days prior to the commencement of work on this project. At least 10 days advance notice shall be provided prior to conducting this meeting. This will provide an opportunity for explanation and/or clarification of the sea turtle protection measures.
6. From April 15 through November 30, staging areas for construction equipment shall be located off the beach to the maximum extent practicable. Nighttime storage of construction equipment not in use shall be off the beach to minimize disturbance to sea turtle nesting and hatching activities. In addition, all construction pipes that are placed on the beach shall be located as far landward as possible without compromising the integrity of the existing or reconstructed dune system. Temporary storage of pipes shall be off the beach to the maximum extent possible. Temporary storage of pipes on the beach shall be in such a manner so as to impact the least amount of nesting habitat and shall likewise not compromise the integrity of the dune systems (placement of pipes perpendicular to the shoreline is recommended as the method of storage).
7. From April 15 through November 30, all on- beach lighting associated with the project shall be limited to the immediate area of active construction only and shall be the minimal lighting necessary to comply with safety requirements. Shielded low pressure sodium vapor lights are recommended to minimize illumination of the nesting beach and nearshore waters. Lighting on offshore equipment shall be minimized through reduction, shielding, lowering, and appropriate placement of lights to avoid excessive illumination of the water, while meeting all U.S. Coast Guard and OSHA requirements. Shielded low pressure sodium vapor lights are highly recommended for lights on offshore equipment that cannot be eliminated.
8. A report describing the actions taken to implement the terms and conditions of this incidental take statement shall be submitted to the Jacksonville Field Office within 60 days of completion of the proposed work for each year when the activity has occurred. This report will include the dates of actual construction activities, names and qualifications of personnel involved in nest surveys and relocation activities, descriptions and locations of self-release beach sites, nest survey and relocation results, and hatching success of nests.
9. In the event a sea turtle nest is excavated during construction activities, the permitted person responsible for egg relocation for the project should be notified so the eggs can be moved to a suitable relocation site.
10. Upon locating a dead, injured, or sick endangered or threatened sea turtle specimen, initial notification must be made to the Florida Marine Patrol at 1-800-DIALFMP (*FMP on a cellular phone). Care should be taken in handling sick or injured specimens to ensure

effective treatment and care and in handling dead specimens to preserve biological materials in the best possible state for later analysis of cause of death. In conjunction with the care of sick or injured endangered or threatened species or preservation of biological materials from a dead animal, the finder has the responsibility to ensure that evidence intrinsic to the specimen is not unnecessarily disturbed.

The Service believes that no more than the following types of incidental take will result from the proposed action: (1) all sea turtle nests that may be constructed and eggs that may be deposited and missed by a nest survey and egg relocation program within the boundaries of the proposed project; (2) all sea turtle nests deposited during the period when a nest survey and egg relocation program is not required to be in place within the boundaries of the proposed project; (3) harassment in the form of disturbing or interfering with female turtles attempting to nest within the construction area or on adjacent beaches as a result of construction activities; (4) disorientation of hatchling turtles on beaches adjacent to the construction area as they emerge from the nest and crawl to the water as a result of project lighting; (5) behavior modification of nesting females due to escarpment formation within the project area during a nesting season, resulting in false crawls or situations where they choose marginal or unsuitable nesting areas to deposit eggs; and (6) all nests destroyed as a result of escarpment leveling within a nesting season when such leveling has been approved by the Fish and Wildlife Service. The reasonable and prudent measures, with their implementing terms and conditions, are designed to minimize the impact of incidental take that might otherwise result from the proposed action. If, during the course of the action, this level of incidental take is exceeded, such incidental take represents new information requiring reinitiation of consultation and review of the reasonable and prudent measures provided. The Federal agency must immediately provide an explanation of the causes of the taking and review with the Service the need for possible modification of the reasonable and prudent measures.

CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Section 7(a)(1) of the ESA directs Federal agencies to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the ESA by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information.

Section 7(a)(1) of the ESA directs Federal agencies to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the ESA by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information.

1. Construction activities for this project and similar future projects should be planned to take place outside the main part of the sea turtle nesting and hatching season.
2. Appropriate native salt-resistant dune vegetation should be established on the restored dunes. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Beaches and

Coastal Systems, can provide technical assistance on the specifications for design and implementation.

3. Surveys for nesting success of sea turtles should be continued for a minimum of 3 years following beach nourishment to determine whether sea turtle nesting success has been adversely impacted.

4. Educational signs should be placed where appropriate at beach access points explaining the importance of the area to sea turtles and/or the life history of sea turtle species that nest in the area.

In order for the Service to be kept informed of actions minimizing or avoiding adverse effects or benefitting listed species or their habitats, the Service requests notification of the implementation of any conservation recommendations.

REINITIATION - CLOSING STATEMENT

This concludes formal consultation on the action(s) outlined in the request. As provided in 50 CFR §402.16, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if: (1) the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded; (2) new information reveals effects of the agency action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not considered in this opinion; (3) the agency action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat not considered in this opinion; or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the action. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, any operations causing such take must cease pending reinitiation.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ackerman, R.A. 1980. Physiological and ecological aspects of gas exchange by sea turtle eggs. *Amer. Zool.* 20:575-583.
- Bowen, B., J.C. Avise, J.I. Richardson, A.B. Meylan, D. Margaritoulis, and S.R. Hopkins-Murphy. 1993. Population structure of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. *Cons. Biol.* 7(4):834-844.
- Coastal Engineering Research Center. 1984. Shore Protection Manual, Volumes I and II. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Dickerson, D.D. and D.A. Nelson. 1989. Recent results on hatchling orientation responses to light wavelengths and intensities. Pages 41-43 in Eckert, S.A., K.L. Eckert, and T.H. Richardson (compilers). Proceedings of the 9th Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-232.

- Dodd, C.K., Jr. and R. Byles. 1991. The status of the loggerhead, *Caretta caretta*; Kemp's ridley, *Lepidochelys kempii*; and green, *Chelonia mydas*, sea turtles in U.S. waters: a reconsideration. *Marine Fisheries Review* 53(3):30-31.
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1989. Status report of the loggerhead turtle. Pages 122-139 in Ogren, L., F. Berry, K. Bjorndal, H. Kumpf, R. Mast, G. Medina, H. Reichart, and R. Witham (eds.). *Proceedings of the 2nd Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium*. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-226.
- Encalada, S.E., K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten, J.C. Zurita, B. Schroeder, E. Possardt, C.J. Sears, and B.W. Bowen. 1998. Population structure of loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nesting colonies in the Atlantic and Mediterranean as inferred from mitochondrial DNA control region sequences. *Marine Biology* 130:567-575.
- Fletemeyer, J. 1980. Sea turtle monitoring project. Unpubl. report to Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, FL. 88pp.
- Frazer, N.B. 1983. Survivorship of adult female loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, nesting on Little Cumberland Island, Georgia, USA. *Herpetologica* 39:436-447.
- Frazer, N.B. 1986. Survival from egg to adulthood in a declining population of loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta*. *Herpetologica* 42(1):47-55.
- Hopkins, S.R. and J.I. Richardson, eds. 1984. Recovery plan for marine turtles. National Marine Fisheries Service, St. Petersburg, FL. 355pp.
- LeBuff, C.R., Jr. 1990. The loggerhead turtle in the eastern Gulf of Mexico. *Caretta Research, Inc.*, Sanibel Island, FL. 236pp.
- Limpus, C.J., V. Baker, and J.D. Miller. 1979. Movement induced mortality of loggerhead eggs. *Herpetologica* 35(4):335-338.
- Mann, T.M. 1977. Impact of developed coastline on nesting and hatchling sea turtles in southeastern Florida. Unpubl. M.S. thesis. Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL. 100pp.
- McGehee, M.A. 1990. Effects of moisture on eggs and hatchlings of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*). *Herpetologica* 46(3):251-258.
- Meylan, A. 1992. Hawksbill turtle *Eretmochelys imbricata*. Pages 95-99 in Moler, P.E. (ed.). *Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida, Volume III*. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Meylan, A., B. Schroeder, and A. Mosier. 1995. Sea turtle nesting activity in the State of Florida 1979-1992. Florida Marine Research Publications Number 52, St. Petersburg, FL. 51pp.